# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 1 0 2005

Attorney's Docket No.: 16614-030001

### OFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FACSIMILE:

OFFICIAL FAX NO: (703) 872-9306

Number of pages including this page

Applicant: Thomas E. Tarara et al.

Art Unit : 1616

Serial No.: 10/612,393

Examiner: Sharmila S. Gollamudi

Filed

: July 3, 2003

Title

: Engineered Particles and Methods of Use

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attached to this facsimile communication cover sheet is a Supplemental Response to Restriction Requirement and Interview Summary, faxed this 10th day of March, 2005, to the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 10, 2005

Jan Dellahunty
Dillahunty

Fish & Richardson P.C. 500 Arguello Street, Suite 500 Redwood City, California 94063 Telephone: (650) 839-5070

Fax: (650) 839-5071

50265354.doc

## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Attorney's Docket No.: 16614-030001

MAR 1 0 2005

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Thomas E. Tarara et al.

Art Unit : 1616

Serial No.: 10/612,393

Examiner: Sharmila S. Gollamudi

Filed

Title

: July 3, 2003

: ENGINEERED PARTICLES AND METHODS OF USE

#### Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT <u>AND INTERVI</u>EW SUMMARY

### RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT:

Responsive to the action mailed September 10, 2004 and the Interview Summary of August 27, 2004, applicants elect the invention of Group IV, claims 24-26, drawn to the embodiment of preparing microparticles, classified in class 516, subclass 11. The election is made with traverse.

Applicants traverse the restriction requirement for the following reason. A Request for Declaration of Interference with U.S. Patent No. 6,416,739 ("the '739 patent") was filed in this application on January 29, 2004. Each independent claim of this application corresponds to a claim of the '739 patent. Applicants submit that restriction of the claims in this instance, rather than avoiding an undue burden, actually creates an undue burden on the Office because it requires the declaration and disposition of four separate interferences to determine priority of invention of a single patent and a single application. Accordingly, applicants hereby request that the restriction requirement be withdrawn.

#### CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION BY FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date indicated below.

March 10, 2005

Date of Transmission

Signature

Maria Regina Cariis

Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate

Applicant: Thomas E. Tarara et al.

Serial No.: 10/612,393 Filed: July 3, 2003 Page: 2 of 2 Attorney's Docket No.: 16614-030001

### **INTERVIEW SUMMARY:**

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the Examiner's agreement during the August 27, 2004 telephone interview to vacate the previous office action and correct the statutory time period.

This response is supplemental to the response to restriction requirement filed by Express Mail on March 9, 2005 in that it includes an interview summary.

Please apply any charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 10, 2005

Mary Ann billahunty Reg. No. 34,576

Fish & Richardson P.C. 500 Arguello Street, Suite 500 Redwood City, California 94063 Telephone: (650) 839-5070

Facsimile: (650) 839-5071

50265345.doc